



Specific Accreditation Criteria

ISO/IEC 17025 Application Document Materials - Annex

Metallographic testing

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX 2026

© Copyright National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia 2013

This publication is protected by copyright under the Commonwealth of Australia Copyright Act 1968.

NATA's accredited facilities or facilities seeking accreditation may use or copy this publication or print or email this publication internally for accreditation purposes.

Individuals may store a copy of this publication for private non-commercial use or copy a reasonable portion of this publication in accordance with the fair dealing provisions in Part III Division 3 of the Copyright Act 1968.

You must include this copyright notice in its complete form if you make a copy of this publication.

Apart from these permitted uses, you must not modify, copy, reproduce, republish, frame, upload to a third party, store in a retrieval system, post, transmit or distribute this content in any way or any form or by any means without express written authority from NATA.

Table of Contents ****TBC****

Introduction	4
6 Resource requirements.....	5
6.3 Facilities and environmental conditions	5
6.4 Equipment	5
7 Process requirements	5
7.2 Selection, verification and validation of methods.....	5
7.2.1 Selection and verification of methods	5
7.3 Sampling	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.8 Reporting of results	7
7.8.3 Specific requirements for test reports	7
References.....	9
Amendment Table	9

Metallographic testing

This document provides interpretative criteria and recommendations for the application of ISO/IEC 17025 for both applicant and accredited facilities conducting metallographic testing.

Applicant and accredited facilities must comply with all relevant documents in the NATA Accreditation Criteria (NAC) package for Materials (refer to *NATA Procedures for Accreditation*).

The clause numbers in this document follow those of ISO/IEC 17025 but since not all clauses require interpretation the numbering may not be consecutive.

Introduction

Accreditation for metallographic testing covers tests prescribed for assessing compliance of materials and manufactured parts/articles with standard or industry specifications.

Accreditation may be granted for those metallographic tests widely used in formulation of expert opinions (e.g., selection of manufacturing and treatment processes, failure analysis, etc.), but only where well-defined test methods are used to obtain objective test data.

The terms 'objective' and 'subjective' are used in reference to tests and test methods. 'Objective test' refers to a test that produces a result independent of the personal judgement or opinion of the operator. 'Subjective test' refers to a test that produces a result dependent on the personal judgement or opinion of the operator. In practice, metallographic tests fall between these extremes and involve varying degrees of subjective judgement.

Metallographic testing consists of visual examinations at macroscopic or microscopic scales. Macroscopic examination differs from microscopic examination in that larger areas of specimens are studied visually at comparatively lower magnifications (e.g., typically 50x or less). NATA uses the term 'macroscopic examination' to cover determination of gross features of samples such as laps, seams, cracks, inclusions, bursts, laminations, weldments, hardening patterns, segregation, etc.

6 Resource requirements

6.3 Facilities and environmental conditions

6.3.1 The accommodations for initial preparation work, final polishing, and testing shall have no adverse effect on test results.

Note: Consideration should be given to isolating rough preparation areas where there may be effects on delicate instruments.

Note: As flammable and corrosive etchants may be used for these tests (e.g., hydrofluoric acid, nital), consideration should be given to isolate the macroetch area and the use of fume control systems.

6.4 Equipment

6.4.4 A calibrated stage micrometer shall be used to verify magnifications.

Where necessary, facilities shall prepare a tabulation of the standard magnifications and the required eyepiece, objective, and extension for each magnification.

Dimensional measurements

Dimensional measurements require differing orders of accuracy depending on the nature of the test and the type of specimen.

For determination of case depth up to 0.5 mm, an instrument with minimum readability of 0.001 mm shall be used.

For determination of case depth of 0.5 mm and above, an instrument with minimum readability of 0.02 mm shall be used.

For determination of electrodeposited coatings, an instrument with minimum readability of 0.0005 mm shall be used.

Microstructure of graphite in cast iron

Specimens shall be prepared such that assessed sections show complete and undistorted retention of graphite.

Original graphite type, distribution, and size charts or verified inlays are essential. It is required to arrange the illustrations so that they can be placed closely adjacent to the microscope screen or photographic image or overlaid on an image.

7 Process requirements

7.2 Selection, verification and validation of methods

7.2.1 Selection and verification of methods

7.2.1.1

Depth measurement — Case depth and depth of decarburisation

For measurements incorporating determination of hardness, accreditation cannot be considered for case depth or depth of decarburisation by such methods unless a facility also holds accreditation for the relevant hardness tests.

Hardness tests for determination of case depth or depth of decarburisation usually require light indentation forces. The indentation force must be chosen to enable

adequate spacing of indentations, distance from the edge of the specimen, and containment within a desired phase when required.

Depth measurement — Thickness of metallic and conversion coatings

Where not specified in the product standard, measurements shall be taken at a minimum of five locations, including where the minimum thickness might reasonably be expected to occur. The location of each thickness measurement and the coating thickness determined at each location shall be reported.

Measurements shall be made with a metallurgical microscope.

Where sections examined are not normal to the surface of the article, facilities shall verify the angle between the examined plane and the plane of the coating.

Grain size — All methods

There are three basic methods for grain size estimation. For all methods, the fields chosen for examination shall be representative of the sample.

For non-equiaxed grains, measurements shall be taken in mutually perpendicular directions, i.e., longitudinal, transverse, and normal. Three counts shall be made in each direction.

Grain size — Comparison method

The comparison method shall be applied to completely recrystallised or cast materials with equiaxed grains.

A facility shall have a set of original charts or overlays printed by ASTM or other national standards writing bodies. Any working copies of charts shall have traceable magnification verification. Photocopies are not permitted.

The grain size comparison shall be determined on a projection screen or by using a photographic image adjacent to the standard grain size charts.

Grain size — Intercept method

The line inscribed on the microscope screen or photographic image shall be sufficiently long to intercept at least 50 grains, and at least three counts shall be averaged.

Grain size — Planimetric method / Volumetric manual point count

Determination shall be carried out using a projection screen or a photographic image. Magnification for each determination shall be sufficient to ensure between 50 and 100 grains are within the inscribed area. A minimum of three determinations shall be performed.

7.2.1.3 Many test methods recommend specific polishing and/or etching procedures, but other procedures may be adopted where the integrity of microstructure is not compromised.

Preparation, polishing, and etching procedures shall be documented where not detailed in the applicable test standard or specification.

Depth measurement

As all depth measurements are made from reference surfaces, it is essential to avoid edge rounding or other damage to those surfaces.

As metallic and conversion coatings are usually very thin and often comparatively soft, it is essential to avoid distortion, loss or damage during testing.

Note: It is strongly recommended that an image of the area concerned be included in the test/examination report.

7.8 Reporting of results

7.8.3 Specific requirements for test reports

7.8.3.1 In addition to other reporting requirements, the polishing and etching procedures shall be included.

When a test method involves a significant subjective element, it is necessary to include supporting information in the test report. Supporting information may take the form of photographs, sketches, or detailed description(s). The aim shall be to give the customer all available facts so that they will know the basis adopted by the facility for its subjective judgement.

When photographs are included in test reports, they shall be annotated with the areas of the specimen they represent, the associated magnification, and any etchant(s) used.

Depth measurement

All the relevant definitions shall be included in the test report if they are not already included in the stated material specification or test method. This is particularly important for reports on case depth and depth of decarburisation.

Reports shall include the location and orientation of the specimen(s) in relation to the sample.

Grain size

Estimation of grain size is not a precise measurement and is often complicated by the fact that grain size of the sample is variable. For a material of uniform grain size, grain size is reported to the nearest number detailed in the relevant standard.

Where there are variations in grain size, it is permissible to estimate and report approximate proportions. Such estimates shall be rounded and reported to the nearest 10% of the evaluated area.

Note: In practice, many specimens do not have uniform grain size. In such cases, reports may describe the observed range or predominant grain size. For example, reports may include statements such as '...grain size number varies from 2 to 6...'. Where duplex grain sizes are present, results may be reported as '...grain size number is predominantly 3 but some regions vary from 5 to 6...'.

Macroscopic examination

Results shall be reported as the percentage of the phase under count, including the number of points counted.

For macroscopic examination of welded specimens, test reports shall contain applicable details of the welded test plate. Where testing is conducted for the purposes of weld procedure qualification, such details may include as a minimum:

- joint type;
- weld preparation;
- section size(s);
- material grade and/or standard;
- welder identification;
- welding position;
- welding process;
- reference to the associated welding procedure or specification.

Microstructure of graphite in cast iron

Estimating graphite type, distribution and size is not a precise measurement and may be complicated by the presence of more than one type and/or size of graphite in the specimen. Where the location of concern shows a varying microstructure, approximate estimates of the proportion of these areas shall be made and reported.

It is required to state the position of the specimen in relation to the sample or casting in test reports, and to record the thickness of the section from which the specimen was cut.

Where a representative sample such as a keel block or test bar is used, this shall be noted in test reports.

References

This section lists publications referenced in this document. The year of publication is not included as it is expected that only current versions of the references shall be used.

Standards

ASTM E112 *Standard Test Methods for Determining Average Grain Size*

ISO/IEC 17025 *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*

NATA publications

NATA Accreditation Criteria package for Materials

Amendment Table

The table below provides a summary of changes made to the document with this issue.

Section or Clause	Amendment
Whole document	<p>Some requirements from the previous edition have been editorially revised for clarity.</p> <p>Requirements that have since been superseded and/or made redundant by the current NATA Accreditation Criteria have been removed.</p>
7.8	<p>Supporting information in test reports (e.g. photographs) is now mandatory.</p> <p>Photographs of test specimens shall be annotated with the associated magnification and etchants used.</p> <p>Reports for graphite microstructure must include further information about the test specimen. Reports must include information on keel blocks or test bars used.</p>